

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/688,624	10/17/2003	David A. Young	BOE 0432 PA	BOE 0432 PA 2590	
44702	02 7590 08/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY & BROITMAN PC			DINH, TIE	DINH, TIEN QUANG	
	250 PARK AVENUE, SUITE 825 NEW YORK, NY 10177		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3644		
		DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/688,624	YOUNG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tien Dinh	3644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9,11-15,17-28,30-32 and 34-36</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5, 6, 12, 13, 25, 26, 35, and 36</u> is/are 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,7-9,11,14,15,17-24,27,28,30-32</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. allowed. and 34 is/are rejected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original original contents are considered to by the Examiner contents are considered to by the Examiner contents are contents and contents are contents.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-9, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19-21, 22-24, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FR 2303711 in view of Fowler.

FR 2303711 discloses having an arcuate structure wherein the archway has u-shaped cross-section that has components running through it. See page 3, lines 34-page 4, line 10 and figures 3 and 4. FR 2303711 is silent on the system components that run through the hollow archway so that instruments from the lower portion and upper crown portion can communicate with each others. However, FR 2303711 is silent on the system components running through his hollow archway. Fowler teaches that system components that run thru a hollow passageway is well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have ran system components through FR 2303711's hollow archways as taught by Fowler to accommodate the passengers. Please note that the use of support system components in the upper and lower lobe portions are well known in this day and age. Plus it is obvious to one

Art Unit: 3644

skilled in the arts to use support components in the upper and lower portion to accommodate the passengers.

Please note that to make a passageway integral merely involves a routine step that one skilled in the art would have taken.

Re claims 22-23, please note that the archway can be used as a divider since a person skilled in the art would put a marker in the archway to designate one end as the front and the other end as the back. Thus an archway that runs through the fuselage would divide the portions of the aircraft into different portions.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 6, 12, 13, 25, 26, 35, and 36 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

The examiner has used Fowler to show that components running through an archway are well known. One skilled in the art would have used component's through FR 2303711's system as taught by Fowler to better accommodate the passengers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tien Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-6899. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6:

Art Unit: 3644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TD

Ton soly